27

28

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3		
4		
5 6	CLARK WALKER, Plaintiff,	NO. C03-3723 TEH  ORDER RE SUPPLEMENTAL
7 8	v.  CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, et al.	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT
9	Defendants.	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The Court has reviewed the parties' Supplemental Joint Case Management Statement, submitted on November 7, 2005. The Court notes plaintiff's statement at page 2, lines 13-15, as follows: "Plaintiff generally disputes Defendants' characterization of the Order [re Summary Judgment] and specifically disagrees that the issues of admissibility of evidence and/or the scope of Plaintiff's damages has been adjudicated by the Order." The parties are advised that the Court's Order speaks for itself, and the parties' characterizations of the Order are irrelevant.  IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19 20		
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>		ON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE D STATES DISTRICT COURT
23		
24		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		